

**State of Minnesota**  
**County of** \_\_\_\_\_  
**File No.:** \_\_\_\_\_

**District Court**  
**Judicial District** \_\_\_\_\_

STATE OF MINNESOTA

v.

**ORDER ON APPLICATION  
FOR PUBLIC DEFENDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Defendent

Upon review of the verified application for a public defender, the Court finds:

The Defendent is:

- Not financially eligible
- Financially eligible because:
  - The defendent, or a dependent of theirs who lives in their household, receives a means tested government benefit.
  - Due to insufficient funds or other assets, the defendent was refused representation by two lawyers on a referral list maintained by the Court.
  - The Court does not maintain a lawyer referral list, but two lawyers in this judicial district refused representation of the defendent due to insufficient funds or other assets.
  - The defendent does not have sufficient income or assets to pay the reasonable costs for defense of their case charged by lawyers in this judicial district.

Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that defendent's application for a public defender is:

- Approved
- Not approved

The Court finds that reimbursement is:

- Not required
- Required as follows: \_\_\_\_\_
- To be determined at a later date

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
District Court Judge